

EXHIBIT 70

Subect to Protective Order

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA</p> <p>----- ORACLE USA, INC., a) Colorado Corporation;) CASE NO: ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a) 2:10-CV-0106-LRH-PAL Delaware Corporation; and) ORACLE INTERNATIONAL) CORPORATION, a California) Corporation,)) Plaintiffs,)) Vs.)) RIMINI STREET, INC., a) Nevada Corporation; Seth) Ravin, an individual,)) Defendants.) -----</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CLARK STRONG</p> <p style="text-align: center;">October 20th, 2011</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR PLAINTIFFS: 3 Mr. Geoffrey M. Howard Bingham McCutchen 4 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111-4067 5 P 415.393.2000 F 415.393.2286 geoff.howard@bingham.com 6 7 FOR DEFENDANTS: 8 9 Mr. Ryan J. Schletzbaum Ms. Megan J. Redmond Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP 10 2555 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613 11 P 816.474.6550 F 816.421.5547 rschletzbaum@shb.com mredmond@shb.com 12 13 FOR THE WITNESS: 14 Ms. Sandi Pearson Tarski Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Gallegos and Green, PC 15 909 Hidden Ridge Drive Suite 410 16 P.O. Box 168046 Irving, Texas 75016-8046 17 P 214.574.8800 F 214.574.8801 starski@irv.wabsa.com 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Billy Gonzalez, Videographer 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p>1 ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CLARK 2 STRONG, produced as a witness at the instance of the 3 Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the 4 above-styled and numbered cause on the 20th of 5 October, 2011, from 8:58 a.m. to 10:58 a.m., before 6 Daniel J. Skur, Notary Public and Certified 7 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, 8 reported by stenographic means, at the Birdville 9 Administrative Offices, 3126 Carson Street, Haltom 10 City, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil 11 Procedure. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1 PROCEEDINGS 08:46:11 2 (Deposition Exhibit 598 marked.) 08:46:11 3 (Deposition Exhibit 599 marked.) 08:47:47 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Go on the record 08:58:03 5 Thursday, October 20th, 2011. Time is approximately 08:58:24 6 8:58 a.m. Will the court reporter please swear in 08:58:27 7 the witness. 08:58:32 8 CLARK STRONG, 9 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 (8:58 a.m.) 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. HOWARD: 13 Q. Mr. Strong, could you please state and 08:58:50 14 spell your name for the record? 08:58:52 15 A. My -- Clark Strong, C-L-A-R-K, 08:58:53 16 S-T-R-O-N-G. 08:58:58 17 Q. And by whom are you employed? 08:58:58 18 A. Birdville ISD. 08:59:00 19 Q. And ISD stands for? 08:59:01 20 A. Independent School District. 08:59:03 21 Q. Thank you, sir. My name is Geoff 08:59:04 22 Howard. I represent Oracle, and I'll be asking some 08:59:06 23 questions this morning, and you may get questions 08:59:08 24 from some of the other attorneys here. I think your 08:59:12 25 counsel wanted to say something. 08:59:14</p>

Subect to Protective Order

Page 13	Page 15
<p>1 A. Yes. 09:09:20</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: That is a lawn mower. 09:09:26</p> <p>3 MS. TARSKI: What did you say? 09:09:29</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: That's a lawn mower, 09:09:30</p> <p>5 that noise. 09:09:32</p> <p>6 MR. HOWARD: He read my mind. 09:09:34</p> <p>7 MS. TARSKI: It's not a large area 09:10:00</p> <p>8 they're mowing out there, right? I mean -- 09:10:02</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Where they're mowing 09:10:04</p> <p>10 right now is not a large area, but they will work 09:10:05</p> <p>11 their way along the building, that building -- 09:10:08</p> <p>12 (Simultaneous conversation.) 09:10:08</p> <p>13 MS. TARSKI: I'm just thinking in 09:10:08</p> <p>14 terms of this room, they'll be moving on. 09:10:08</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. 09:10:14</p> <p>16 MR. HOWARD: He'll tell us if the 09:10:14</p> <p>17 video -- if the audio quality is being impacted. I 09:10:16</p> <p>18 think we're okay. 09:10:17</p> <p>19 Let's mark as Exhibit 600 the 09:10:19</p> <p>20 support services agreement for PeopleSoft between 09:10:22</p> <p>21 Birdville and Rimini Street. 09:10:25</p> <p>22 (Deposition Exhibit 600 marked.) 09:10:26</p> <p>23 BY MR. HOWARD: 09:11:00</p> <p>24 Q. Mr. Strong, do you recognize this 09:11:01</p> <p>25 document? 09:11:03</p>	<p>1 MR. SCHLETZBAUM: Same objection. 09:12:19</p> <p>2 A. I'm not sure. I think I would have 09:12:24</p> <p>3 recommended to my supervisor that we -- that we go 09:12:26</p> <p>4 forward with it. 09:12:34</p> <p>5 BY MR. HOWARD: 09:12:36</p> <p>6 Q. What would have been your solution to 09:12:36</p> <p>7 getting tax and regulatory updates in that event? 09:12:38</p> <p>8 A. Would have done it ourselves. We did it 09:12:40</p> <p>9 in the past. 09:12:43</p> <p>10 Q. When was that? When did you do your own 09:12:44</p> <p>11 tax and regulatory updates? 09:12:48</p> <p>12 A. It would have been in the late '80s. 09:12:49</p> <p>13 Q. Was that on PeopleSoft software? 09:12:59</p> <p>14 A. Oh, no. 09:13:01</p> <p>15 Q. What software was that? 09:13:03</p> <p>16 A. I don't recall the name of it. 09:13:06</p> <p>17 Q. Did you evaluate the feasibility of 09:13:08</p> <p>18 doing self support on PeopleSoft software at the 09:13:11</p> <p>REDACTED</p> <p>09:13:34</p>
Page 14	Page 16
<p>REDACTED</p> <p>09:11:55</p> <p>18 A. Could you repeat the question again, 09:11:59</p> <p>19 please? 09:12:00</p> <p>20 BY MR. HOWARD: 09:12:01</p> <p>21 Q. Sure. Let me see if I can rephrase it. 09:12:01</p> <p>22 If Rimini Street couldn't or said it couldn't 09:12:05</p> <p>23 provide tax and regulatory updates at the same 09:12:09</p> <p>24 quality as Oracle, would Birdville still have 09:12:14</p> <p>25 contracted with Rimini Street for support? 09:12:16</p>	<p>1 Q. What other options were those? 09:13:34</p> <p>2 A. We looked at other companies. 09:13:37</p> <p>3 Q. Do you remember which ones? 09:13:40</p> <p>4 A. eVerge and TomorrowNow are the two I 09:13:41</p> <p>5 remember. 09:13:49</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And what was the nature of your 09:13:49</p> <p>7 discussion with TomorrowNow? 09:13:54</p> <p>8 A. I don't remember, you know. The only 09:14:03</p> <p>9 thing I can remember about discussing with 09:14:14</p> <p>10 TomorrowNow was that they were similar to Rimini 09:14:16</p> <p>11 Street, but there was one difference. 09:14:20</p> <p>12 Q. What was that difference? 09:14:23</p> <p>13 A. The Rimini -- TomorrowNow didn't support 09:14:25</p> <p>14 customizations to the PeopleSoft software. 09:14:31</p> <p>15 Q. Was that the deciding factor as between 09:14:33</p> <p>16 TomorrowNow and Rimini Street? 09:14:36</p> <p>17 A. Yes. 09:14:37</p> <p>18 Q. Was the price basically the same? 09:14:37</p> <p>19 A. I don't recall the price. 09:14:41</p> <p>20 Q. Was the manner of support as they 09:14:43</p> <p>21 described it to you essentially the same, to your 09:14:46</p> <p>22 understanding? 09:14:48</p> <p>23 A. I don't recall. 09:14:50</p> <p>REDACTED</p> <p>RE 09:15:10</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Subect to Protective Order

<div>Page 17</div> <div>REDACTED</div> <div>09:15:19</div> <div>REDACTED</div>	<div>Page 19</div> <div>REDACTED</div>
<div>09:16:25</div> <div>Page 18</div> <div>REDACTED</div> <div>09:17:31</div>	<div>09:18:51</div> <div>Page 20</div> <div>REDACTED</div> <div>09:20:24</div>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Subect to Protective Order

Page 21	Page 23
<p>REDACTED</p>	<p>1 whom you are primarily speaking in the course of 09:23:04 2 making the decision to recommend Rimini Street to 09:23:06 3 the school district? 09:23:08 4 A. Yes. 09:23:09 5 Q. Okay. 09:23:10 6 MS. TARSKI: If during your 09:23:12 7 deposition there's something that you've said that 09:23:14 8 you do want to clarify, you can let him know and 09:23:16 9 clarify. 09:23:19 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 09:23:20 11 MR. HOWARD: I want your best 09:23:20 12 testimony, so -- and if -- you go through documents 09:23:22 13 and things come back to you, it's a very normal 09:23:23 14 thing. 09:23:26 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 09:23:26</p> <p>REDACTED</p>
<p>09:21:16 19 MR. HOWARD: Let's mark as Exhibit 09:21:46 20 601 an email between M. Davichick at Rimini Street 09:21:47 21 and Clark Strong on December 14th, 2006. 09:21:54 22 (Deposition Exhibit 601 marked.) 09:21:58 23 THE WITNESS: Can I ask you a 09:22:17 24 question? No? 09:22:18 25 MS. TARSKI: You need to talk to me? 09:22:20</p>	<p>09:23:57</p>
Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 09:22:21 2 MS. TARSKI: Can we -- 09:22:22 3 MR. HOWARD: If you need to go off 09:22:24 4 the record, that's okay with me. 09:22:25 5 MS. TARSKI: Do you need to take a 09:22:26 6 quick break? 09:22:27 7 THE WITNESS: I just want to ask a 09:22:28 8 question. 09:22:30 9 MS. TARSKI: Is it a question about 09:22:32 10 the document? 09:22:32 11 THE WITNESS: It's a question about 09:22:34 12 something that the document reminded me of that I 09:22:35 13 said earlier. 09:22:38 14 MR. HOWARD: Oh, okay. 09:22:41 15 BY MR. HOWARD: 09:22:42 16 Q. Well, you know, maybe I can just do it 09:22:43 17 by asking you. Is there something about your prior 09:22:45 18 testimony that you would like to clarify based on 09:22:47 19 seeing this document, Exhibit 601? 09:22:52 20 A. Yes. 09:22:54 21 Q. Okay. Please do so. 09:22:55 22 A. The gentleman I said his name was David? 09:22:56 23 Q. Yes. 09:22:56 24 A. His name was Michael Davichick. 09:22:59 25 Q. So Michael Davichick was the person with 09:23:01</p>	<p>REDACTED</p> <p>09:24:35 15 Q. Okay. Now, did you understand that -- 09:24:35 16 let me back up. 09:24:54 17 What's -- in a very general sense, 09:24:55 18 what's the nature of your job responsibilities with 09:24:58 19 the school district, or what was it back in this 09:25:00 20 time frame in the end of 2006? 09:25:03 21 A. Technical support for PeopleSoft. 09:25:05 22 Q. And are you generally familiar with the 09:25:10 23 PeopleSoft software as part of that job? 09:25:12 24 A. I'm one of the most familiar at the 09:25:17 25 school district, yes. 09:25:20</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1 1
2 2
3 3 I, Daniel J. Skur, Certified Shorthand
4 4 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
5 5 Texas, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by
6 6 me in the caption hereto are true; that there came
7 7 before me the aforementioned named person, who was
8 8 by me duly sworn to testify the truth concerning the
9 9 matters in controversy in this cause; and that the
10 10 examination was reduced to writing by computer
11 11 transcription under my supervision; that the
12 12 deposition is a true record of the testimony given
13 13 by the witness.

14 14 I further certify that I am neither
15 15 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
16 16 by, any of the parties to the action in which this
17 17 deposition is taken, and further that I am not a
18 18 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
19 19 employed by the parties hereto, or financially
20 20 interested in the action.

21 21 Given under my hand and seal of
22 22 office on this, the ____ day of October, A.D.,
23 23 2011.
24 24
25 25



Notary Public, State of Texas

My Commission Expires 7/10/2014